UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,622	05/31/2001	Shunichi Nagamoto	2001_0688A	7182
513 7590 07/03/2007 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W.			EXAMINER	
			GOTTSCHALK, MARTIN A	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/867,622	NAGAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Martin A. Gottschalk	3694
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	42-44 is/are withdrawn from con-	sideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3694

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. Claims 1-11 and 13-44 remain pending. Claims 1, 5, 9, 13, and 15-18 are currently amended. Claims 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, and 14 are as previously presented.

Claims 37 and 41 are new. Claims 2, 7, 19-36, 38-40, and 42-44 are withdrawn. Claim 12 has been cancelled.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-18, 37, and 41 in the reply filed on 11/29/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3694

5. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11, 13-18, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Surwit et al (US Pat# 6,024,699) in view of Hokkanen et al (US Pat# 6,993,666).

A. As per claim 1, Surwit discloses a medical checkup network system comprising:

a patient terminal for measuring predetermined biodata of each patient (Surwit: Fig. 2; col 8, Ins 18-36) including at least one of a blood pressure and a body temperature (Surwit: col 7, Ins 42-44);

a doctor terminal through which medical staff is able to view the biodata (Surwit: col 9, lns 50-58; Fig. 1, item 16), and;

a center server for storing information data received from said patient terminal and said doctor terminal, wherein:

said patient terminal and doctor terminal are connected with each other via said center server over a communication network (Surwit: col 9, Ins 31-34; Fig. 1, item 17);

Surwit fails to explicitly disclose the remaining features of the claim wherein

said patient terminal includes an instrument data memory for storing an identification number to discriminate said patient terminal from other terminals, and is operable to execute procedures of connecting said patient terminal to said center server over the communication network to transmit the identification number upon installation of said patient terminal at the home of the patient, receiving, over the communication network, patient terminal data corresponding to the identification number which is registered preliminarily in said center server, and storing the received patient terminal data;

the patient terminal data is data related to said patient terminal to be used by the patient.

However, these features are well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Hokkanen, see Hokkanen col 2, In 1 to col 3, Ins 43.

It would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate to modify the system of Surwit with the teachings of Hokkanen with the motivation of automating the log on procedure from a terminal, reducing the time and effort required to log on, and improving system security (Hokkanen: col 1, Ins 47-56).

NOTE: In subsequent claims combining the teachings of Surwit and Hokkanen, the same motivation to combine references applies as is provided above for claim 1.

- B. As per claim 3, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 1, wherein said center server includes an authorizing section for providing the patient, said patient terminal, the medical staff or said doctor terminal registered in the center server with access right to enter a data or access the data stored in the center server (Surwit: col 11, Ins 34-57).
- C. As per claim 4, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 1, wherein the center server has an administrator terminal function for registering the user of the system and inputting the various medical data in the center server (Surwit: col 9, lns 25-27 and 50-57).
- D. As per claim 5, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 4, wherein

the center server is operable to store at least one software program to said patient terminal, said doctor terminal or said administrator terminal, and each of said patient, doctor, and administrator terminals downloads the software content from the center server to use (Surwit: col 8, lns 47-55, i.e. "...internal software of a PPM is configurable...via a PAC server," is read on by downloading software to

Art Unit: 3694

the patient terminal; see also col 11, Ins 24-30. The Examiner considers providing a PPM with illness specific software to be a form of downloading

software to the patient terminal.).

E. As per claims 6, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according

to claims 5, wherein

the software of said patient terminal includes version data which is indicative of a

version of the software;

and

said patient terminal is operable to compare the version data of the software in

said patient terminal with latest version data managed in the center server upon

communicating with said center server, and when the version data is older than

update version data, systematically download a latest version of the software

from said center server for upgrading the version of the software in said patient

terminal (Surwit: col 8, Ins 47-55, reads on "...case manager can make

adjustments...").

F. As per claims 8, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according

to claims 4 wherein

said administrator terminal is operable to register, in said center server, an access right for the patient, said patient terminal, a doctor of the medical staff or the doctor terminal (Surwit: col 11, lns 34-57).

G. As per claims 9, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claims 4, wherein

said administrator terminal is operable to enter the patient terminal data (Surwit: col 11, lns 24-33).

H. As per claims 10, Surwit discloses, the medical checkup network system according to claims 9, wherein

the administrator terminal is arranged for executing at least one of procedures comprising:

a procedure of entering identification number which identifies said patient terminal;

a procedure of entering a name of a patient corresponding to the identification number;

a procedure of entering identification code corresponding to the patient name;

a procedure of entering at least one measurement (Surwit: Fig. 11; col 19, Ins 48-65) item corresponding to the patient name (Surwit: Fig. 10C, note the field displaying "White, Doug", the patient's name); and

a procedure of entering at least one name of an instrument which senses biodata corresponding to the measurement item.

I. As per claim 11, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 1, wherein

said doctor terminal includes a biodata threshold setting section for setting a threshold of the biodata for each patient (Surwit: col 16, lns 50-57),

and

said center server includes an alert section for receiving the threshold set by said biodata threshold setting section of said doctor terminal and providing said doctor terminal with an alert when the level of the biodata of the patient measured by said patient terminal exceeds the threshold (Surwit: col 17, Ins 58-67).

J. As per claims 13, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claims 1 respectively, wherein

the patient terminal has an initial connection setting section for communicating with the center server to execute a predetermined process upon being energized, and the initial connection setting section is arranged for performing at least one of

automatically updating the software content,

receiving the medical support data including the schedule data and the advice data (Surwit: col 8, lns 10-14),

and

transmitting measurement data which is not transferred.

K. As per claim 14, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 1, wherein

said patient terminal includes a communicating section for measuring at least one kind of biodata to transmit the measured biodata to said center server (Surwit: Table 1),

said center server includes a database for storing the biodata received from said patient terminal (Surwit: col 9, Ins 25-27),

and

said doctor terminal includes a biodata displaying section for communicating with said center server and displaying the biodata stored in said database (Surwit: col 10, lns 22-41).

L As per claim 15, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 14, wherein said patient terminal includes

a measurement interface connected with at least one sensor for measuring the biodata (Surwit: col 7, lns 40-45),

a biodata memory for storing the biodata measured by the at least one sensor and received through said measurement interface (Surwit: col 7, lns 51-53);

Application/Control Number: 09/867,622

Art Unit: 3694

and

a communicating section for transmitting the biodata stored in the biodata memory and receiving the patient terminal data from said center server upon installation of said patient terminal in the home of the patient (Surwit: col 7, Ins 64-65; col 8, Ins 7-17).

Page 11

M. As per claim 16, Surwit fails to teach the features of the claim, however

Hokkanen discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 1, wherein
the patient terminal data includes at least one of:

a name of the patient corresponding to the identification number of said patient terminal (Hokkanen: col 5, Ins 30-34),

an identification code corresponding to the patient name,

a measurement item corresponding to the patient name,

an instrument name of a sensor for measuring the biodata and corresponding to the measurement item,

and

control data of the sensor.

N. As per claim 17, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according to claim 14, wherein said patient terminal includes:

a measurement interface connected with at least one sensor for measuring the biodata (Surwit: col 7, lns 40-45);

a biodata memory for storing the biodata measured by the at least one sensor and received through said measurement interface (Surwit: col 7, Ins 51-53);

and

a communicating section for transmitting the biodata stored in said biodata memory to said center server (Surwit: col 7, lns 64-65; col 8, lns 7-17).

an instrument data memory for storing a sensor identification number to discriminate the at least one sensor from each other (Surwit: col 5, Ins 59-65.

The Examiner notes that the disclosed "computer-usable memory" could be used to store identification numbers for monitoring equipment.).

Art Unit: 3694

Surwit fails to disclose the remaining features of the claim which are taught by

Hokkanen who discloses

a recording medium interface for receiving the biodata from a detachable

recording medium upon installation of said patient terminal in the home of the

patient (Hokkanen: col 4, Ins 25-64, detachable medium reads on SIM card.).

O. As per claim 18, Surwit discloses the medical checkup network system according

to claim 17, wherein the patient terminal is operable to:

receive, upon installation of said patient terminal, from the detachable recording

medium, patient terminal data including at least one of

name of the patient corresponding to identification number of the

patient terminal,

an identification code corresponding to the patient name

(Hokkanen: col 4, lns 56-64),

a measurement item corresponding to the patient name,

an instrument name of the health sensor corresponding to the

measurement item,

and

control data of the sensor corresponding to the measurement item;

and

store the received patient terminal data (Hokkanen: col 4, Ins 25-64, reads on SIM card.).

- P. As per claim 41, it is rejected for the same reasons as provided above for claims 1 and 16.
- 6. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Surwit in view of Hokkanen, and further in view of Vogt et al (US Pat# 4,470,047, hereinafter Vogt).
- A. As per claim 37, Surwit teaches the system of claim 1 wherein

said center server includes a section for receiving and storing the sensitivity level determined by said sensitivity setting section of said doctor terminal (Surwit: col 8, Ins 47-53 teaches sending sensitivity levels to the PAC server – i.e. center server; col 9, Ins 50-58 teaches PAC server storing data. See also col 11, Ins 24-30 which disclose a case manager – i.e. doctor terminal – transmitting to the PAC server.);

Page 15

said patient terminal includes a section for communicating with said center server to receive the sensitivity level and modifying the sensitivity of the sensor based on the received sensitivity level (Surwit: col 7, Ins 47-60, note "Automated...adjustment algorithms...are stored within each patient's PPM..." see also Surwit: col 8, Ins 21-25 and Ins 47-53 which teaches communication with the PAC server and remote adjustment of algorithms by a case manager.).

Surwit further discloses

said doctor terminal includes a sensitivity setting section (Surwit: col 11, Ins 25-30 which disclose a case manager – i.e. via a doctor terminal - remotely modifying algorithms residing in the patients PPM) for determining a level of sensitivity for receiving, at said patient terminal, a signal output from a sensor (Surwit: col 16, Ins 40-57, in particular Ins 50-53. Note that the passage describes an example of the operation of the disclosed system using a particular

Art Unit: 3694

type of sensor - i.e. one for blood glucose - and that other sensors could be used in comparable fashion such as the body temperature sensor cited above. Note further the example provided of a patient with condition B, i.e. hypoglycemia, where this sensor is used to detect the presence of hypoglycemia, thus the Examiner points out that the blood glucose detector can also be considered to be a hypoglycemia sensor. The passage further points out that the "frequency" parameter is a type of sensitivity for this sensor. In other words, in order to detect hypoglycemia, the frequency <i.e. sensitivity> must be set high enough. If the frequency <i.e. sensitivity> is too low, the detection of hypoglycemia by the hypoglycemia sensor would fail to occur. In the case provided in the passage, the patient is monitored at an adequate frequency, thus this hypoglycemia sensitivity parameter need not be adjusted. Note that if the converse situation existed, i.e. higher sensitivity was required, the passage discloses that this alteration is done at the doctor terminal - reads on "...patient parameters are inherited from the doctor..." - i.e. the doctor is providing the parameters, note Ins 54-55 from the cited passage.).

Surwit (and Hokkanen) fails to explicitly disclose setting, at said doctor terminal, the sensitivity of detection of a physical parameter by a sensor whereby after sensing the physical parameter, the sensor provides a signal output to said patient terminal.

However, this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by the teachings of Vogt who discloses a sensor for detecting fire or products of combustion (Vogt: col 2, Ins 62-66; col 29, Ins 30-45, patient terminal reads on "transponder"), where the sensitivity of the sensor is continuously monitored at a controller (read on by doctor terminal), and where the sensitivity adjustment for the remotely located sensor (reads on "transducer") is performed at the controller.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Vogt into the system of Surwit with the motivation of providing adjustable sensitivity to a sensor that is remote from its controller (Vogt: col 1, Ins 13-35; col 2, Ins 20-25).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 08/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin A. Gottschalk whose telephone number is (571) 272-7030. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,622

Art Unit: 3694

Page 18

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

06/09/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600